

BMJ An invaluable medical resource to keep you informed

AZ-AIR  [click here](#) 

- [Home](#)
[Help](#)
[Search/Archive](#)
[Feedback](#)
[Search Result](#)

Institution: [Rijksuniversiteit Limburg 8750574](#) [Sign In as Member/Non-Member](#)

BMJ 1994;309:993-996 (15 October)

General practice

Randomised controlled trial of health promotion in general practice for patients at high cardiovascular risk

M E Cupples, A McKnight

Department of General Practice, Queen's University of Belfast, Dunluce Health Centre, Belfast BT9 7HR Correspondence to: Dr **Cupples**.

- [Email this article to a friend](#)
- [Respond to this article](#)
- Related [letters](#) in BMJ
- [PubMed citation](#)
- [Related articles in PubMed](#)
- [Download to Citation Manager](#)
- This article has been cited by [other articles](#)
- [Citation Map](#)
- Search Medline for articles by:
- [Cupples, M E](#) || [McKnight, A](#)
- Alert me when:
- [New articles cite this article](#)

Abstract

Objective : To assess the value of health education for patients with angina in reducing risk factors for cardiovascular disease and lessening the effect of angina on everyday activities.

Design : Randomised controlled trial of personal health education given every four months.

Setting : 18 general practices in the greater Belfast area.

Subjects : 688 patients aged less than 75 years and known to have had angina for at least six months; 342 randomised to receive education and 346 to no education.

Main outcome measures : Restriction of everyday activities, dietary habit, smoking habit, frequency of physical exercise; blood pressure, body mass index, and serum total cholesterol concentration at entry to trial and after two years.

Results : 317 in the intervention group and 300 in the control group completed the trial. At the two year review more of the intervention group (140, 44%) reported taking daily physical exercise than the control group (70, 24%). The intervention group also reported eating a healthier diet than the control group and less restriction by angina in any everyday activity. No significant differences were found between the groups in smoking habit, systolic or diastolic blood pressure, cholesterol concentration, or body mass index.

Conclusion : Despite having no significant effect on objective cardiovascular risk factors, personal health education of patients with angina seems to increase exercise and improve dietary habits and is effective in lessening the restriction of everyday activities.

implications

- implications
- Health education for patients with angina
- In this study personal health education improved patients' dietary habits, increased their frequency of taking physical exercise, and decreased their restriction of activity by angina
- Patients receiving personal health education reported increased use of prophylactic drugs
- No improvements were seen in blood pressure, cholesterol concentration, or rate of stopping smoking
- Health education can improve the quality of life of patients with angina and should be incorporated into routine care in general practice

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease is the main cause of premature death in Northern Ireland.¹

Although the risk of cardiovascular disease can be reduced by primary prevention,^{2,3} trials of interventions in general practice have reported poor success.*RF 4-7* Secondary prevention can also be effective.*RF 8-10* Changes in exercise, diet, and smoking have been shown to produce regression of stenotic lesions on coronary arteriography.¹¹

A mass public health education programme is aiming to increase awareness of healthy lifestyles in Northern Ireland.¹² We studied the value of secondary prevention for high risk patients in general practice by giving personal health education to patients with angina. Angina is associated with increased cardiovascular mortality and restriction of everyday activities.*RF 13-18*

Patients and methods

Patients were identified from 18 group general practices in the greater Belfast area. General practitioners were asked to identify patients aged under 75 years who had had angina for at least six months and did not have any other severe illness. Angina was defined as recurrent, transient, and reproducible discomfort in the chest, arms, jaw, or shoulders, the discomfort being reproduced by physical exertion or emotional excitement and relieved by rest or drugs.

We sent letters to 1431 patients, asking for their consent to be interviewed by a research worker interested in angina. The initial appointment took place in the patient's local health centre or surgery or in their own home. Trained health visitors asked questions about the effect of angina on everyday activities, the frequency of attacks of angina, drugs taken, smoking exercise, and diet. They also administered a questionnaire to determine intake of various foods based on that used in a Department of Health and Social Services survey in Northern Ireland.¹⁹

Exercise levels were rated as the number of episodes each week of physical activity sustained for at least 20 minutes. Patients' height (Microtois tape, Raven Equipment, Dunnow), weight (Sea scales, Seca, Birmingham), blood pressure (random zero sphygmomanometer, Hawksley and Son, Lancing), and breath carbon monoxide concentration (Smokerlyzer, Bedfont Technical Instruments, Sittingbourne) were measured. An electrocardiogram was recorded and a sample of blood taken for measurement of serum cholesterol and thiocyanate concentration and a urine sample for cotinine assay.

Each subject was then randomly allocated to one of two groups. The health visitor opened an opaque, sealed, and numbered

envelope containing the allocation, which had been generated by a computer program using random permuted blocks.

For the control group the interview ended at this point. Patients in the intervention group were given practical relevant advice regarding cardiovascular risk factors. They were reviewed at four monthly intervals and given appropriate health education. After two years both groups were reviewed by a research worker who had not previously been involved with the subjects.

Statistical methods

Comparisons between the control and intervention groups were done by X² analysis. Analysis of variance was used to compare measurements of blood pressure, cholesterol, and body mass index between the two groups. Paired t tests were used to compare the differences within the control and intervention groups. Logistic regression was used to compare the mortality in the two groups.

A previous study of patients with angina in general practice identified 22% with "severe" angina - that is, they had attacks once or more a day when walking on the level and during sexual activity, sport, housework, or shopping.¹⁸ We estimated that effective intervention should reduce this to about 10%. We calculated that we would require 325 patients in each group to show a significant difference at the 5% level of probability. To allow for numbers diminishing through default or death we tried to recruit 350 to each group.

Results

A total of 688 patients entered the study. Of the 342 in the intervention group, 317 completed the study, 13 died, and 12 defaulted from review. Of the 346 in the control group, 300 completed, 29 died, and 17 defaulted. No significant differences were found between the two groups at baseline (table I).

TABLE I - Characteristics of intervention and control groups at entry to trial			
	Intervention group (n=342)	Control group (n=346)	Significance
Age (years):			
Mean (SD)	62.7 (7.1)	63.6 (6-8)	P=0.097
Range	38-74	39-74	
Sex:			
Male	203	205	X ² =0.000, P=1.0
Female	139	141	
Social class:			
I and II	37	35	X ² =0.49, P=0.78
III non-manual and manual	157	168	
IV and V	148	143	
Family history of heart disease:			
Yes	223	231	X ² =0.18, P=0.66
No	119	115	
Previous myocardial infarction:			
Yes	150	159	X ² =0.22, P=0.63
No	192	187	
Electrocardiographic evidence of ischaemia:			

Yes	212	216	$\chi^2=0.0016,$ P=0.96
No	130	130	
No of cigarettes smoked/day:			
None	272	268	$\chi^2=0.84, df=2,$ P=0.84
1-10	43	44	
11-20	21	26	
>20	6	8	
Severity of angina:			
Severe*	21	18	$\chi^2=0.13, P=0.71$
Not severe	321	328	

*Severe angina defined as attacks occurring once or more per day when walking on the level and in sex, sport, housework, or shopping.

Table II shows that the reported frequency of physical exercise was similar in the two groups initially. At review, more of the intervention group (140, 44%) than the control group (70, 24%) took daily physical exercise (seven or more times per week). More of the intervention group reported increased activity and fewer reported decreased activity compared with the control group (table III).

TABLE II - Frequency of physical exercise in patients with angina at baseline and review after two years. Values are numbers (percentages)

No of episodes/ week	At baseline		At review	
	Intervention group (n=317)	Control group (n=300)	Intervention group (n=317)	Control group (n=300)
0	47 (15)	33 (11)	46 (15)	71 (24)
1-2	57 (18)	50 (17)	31 (10)	58 (19)
3-4	49 (15)	42 (14)	46 (15)	33 (11)
5-6	42 (13)	49 (16)	54 (17)	68 (23)
7-10	59 (19)	64 (21)	93 (29)	53 (18)
>=11	63 (20)	62 (21)	47 (15)	17 (6)

χ^2 for trend=29.69, df=1; P<0.0001.

TABLE III - Changes in frequency of physical exercise in patients with angina between baseline and review at two years

	No (%) of patients	
	Intervention group	Control group
Increased	108 (34)	63 (21)
No change	120 (38)	74 (25)
Decreased	89 (28)	163 (54)

X^2 for trend=35.66, df=1; P<0.0001.

Initially the eating patterns of the two groups did not differ, but at review more of the intervention group reported improved dietary habits (table IV). More people in the control group reported an increase than a decrease in the frequency of eating poultry, green vegetables, and high fibre foods - that is, an improved dietary habit - but more people also reported eating increased amounts of fried food, biscuits, sweets, and saturated fat.

TABLE IV - Changes in eating habits among patients with angina between baseline and review at two years. Values are numbers of patients

	X ² for trend	Improved*		No change		Deteriorated
		Intervention group P Value	Control group	Intervention group	Control group	Intervention group
Poultry		100	78	175	173	42
59	5.39	0.02				
Green vegetables		139	113	146	122	32
65	9.66	0.002				
High fibre food		115	88	175	170	27
42	6.04	0.01				
Red meat		178	142	95	115	43
59	7.79	0.005				
Fried food		85	79	168	127	64
94	3.99	0.045				
Biscuits and sweets		116	79	134	128	67
103	14.53	0.0001				
Saturated fat		33	25	250	220	34
55	6.13	0.013				

*Improved=increased frequency of eating poultry, green vegetables, and high fibre food and decreased frequency of eating red meat, fried foods, biscuits,

Climbing stairs	254 (80)	245 (82)	238 (75)	227 (76)	60 (19)
52 (17)	73 (23)	65 (22)	2 (0.6)	3 (1)	6 (2)
8 (3)					
Carrying objects	241 (76)	234 (78)	258 (81)	255 (85)	47 (15)
35 (12)	48 (15)	31 (10)	29 (9)	31 (10)	12 (4)
14 (5)					
Social visiting	72 (23)	58 (19)	78 (25)	72 (24)	239 (75)
235 (78)	228 (72)	212 (71)	6 (2)	7 (2)	12 (4)
16 (5)					
Driving a car	23 (7)	27 (9)	38 (12)	28 (9)	127 (40)
102 (34)	112 (35)	105 (35)	166 (52)	172 (57)	167 (53)
167 (56)					

At baseline 252 (36.6%) patients reported taking prophylactic drugs for angina with no significant difference between the groups. At review significantly more of the intervention group (166 (53%)) than the control group (120 (40%)) did so (P=0.004).

The groups did not differ significantly either initially or at review with regard to systolic or diastolic blood pressure, serum cholesterol concentration, or body mass index (table VI). Diastolic blood pressure and body mass index fell in both groups over the study period (P<0.0001). The intervention group showed a significant reduction in serum cholesterol concentration (P=0.003) of 2.4% but the fall was not significantly different from that in the control group (P=0.06).

TABLE VI - Mean values of cardiovascular risk factors in patients with angina at baseline and review

interval)	% Reduction	P Value	Baseline	Review	Mean difference (95% confidence
Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg):					
Intervention group (n=317)			137.2	136.5	0.59 (-1.75 to 2.93)
0.4	0.616				
Control group (n=300)			137.0	136.0	0.98 (-1.64 to 3.60)
0.7	0.459				
Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg):					
Intervention group (n=317)			83.1	76.9	6.1 (4.8 to 7.5)
7.4	<0.0001				
Control group (n=300)			82.0	77.0	5.0 (3.4 to 6.5)
6.1	<0.0001				
Serum cholesterol (mmol/l):					
Intervention group (n=313)			6.2	6.05	0.15 (0.05 to 0.25)
2.4	0.003				
Control group (n=285)			6.12	6.08	0.03 (-0.91 to 0.97)
0.5	0.439				
Body mass index:					
Intervention group (n=317)			27.3	26.8	0.5 (0.28 to 0.72)
1.8	<0.0001				
Control group (n=300)			27.4	26.8	0.5 (0.29 to 0.71)
1.9	<0.0001				

There were 29 deaths the control group and 13 in the intervention group. The relative odds of death in the control group was 2.32

(95% confidence interval 1.18 to 4.53). Ten of the deaths in the intervention group and 28 in the control group were attributed to cardiovascular causes. The relative odds of death was 2.20 (1.06 to 4.57) after age, sex, history of myocardial infarction, blood pressure, cholesterol, body mass index, smoking status, family history, social class, diabetes, and recent worsening of angina were adjusted for.

Discussion

We have shown benefits from secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease for a group of high risk patients. Although there was no difference in values of risk factors between the intervention and control groups at review, patients in the intervention group were significantly less restricted by their angina than the control group.

The slight reductions in systolic blood pressure and significant reductions in diastolic blood pressure seen in both groups may have been partly due to an accommodation effect from repeated measurements^{20,21} but also to the reduction in body mass index.²² The reductions in diastolic blood pressure and body mass index in both groups suggest that the present medical care of patients with angina in combination with a background public health education campaign is effective. Additional personal health education could not be justified on the basis of these observations.

Lifestyle habits

Though elderly patients with angina might be expected to become less active over two years, only 28% of the intervention group compared with 54% of the control groups did so, and significantly more of the intervention group increased their frequency of physical exercise. We did not validate patients' reporting of their activities and some reporting bias may have occurred. However, the difference between the groups is in keeping with the intervention group's reporting of less restriction of activity.

The patterns of restriction of activities differed and were not directly related to physical effort or exercise tolerance. Psychological factors are obviously also important.¹⁷ The percentage of patients in the intervention group who took drugs prophylactically increased significantly. This behaviour could have caused a reduction in symptoms.

There was no significant difference in reported rates of giving up smoking cigarettes between the two groups. Education aimed at smoking may be more effective in specific programmes than as part of a multifactorial programme.⁶

The intervention group reported eating a healthier diet than the control group at review. The education may have encouraged the intervention group to report a more healthy diet than they were actually eating. We tried to minimise such bias by using someone unknown to the patient to make the review observations. Corroboration of dietary habit was not feasible in this study, but questionnaires are often used to assess diet.²³

Changing diet has been shown to reduce serum cholesterol concentration,^{24,25} but we found no such correlation. This may be because food frequency questionnaires reflect trends in dietary habits but cannot accurately quantify intake.

Mortality

Logistic regression analysis did not explain the mortality difference between the two groups. Although there were no significant differences in objective risk factors, the improved wellbeing resulting from increased physical exercise and a healthy diet may have had an effect on coronary mortality. The observation could be a chance occurrence, but we should remember that psychological factors affect patients with angina.

This work was funded by the Medical Research Council. We wish to thank our statistician, Dr D Merrett; the health visitors who carried out the fieldwork; and our secretary, Miss C Agnew.

1. Health of the public in Northern Ireland. Annual report of the chief medical officer 1992/1993. Belfast: DHSS, 1993.
2. Kornitzer M, Dramaix M, Thilly C, De Backer G, Kittel F, Graffer M. Belgian heart disease prevention project: incidence and mortality results. *Lancet* 1983;i:1066-70.
3. Rose G, Tunstall-Pedoe HD, Heller RF. UK heart disease prevention project: incidence and mortality results. *Lancet* 1983;i:1062-5.
4. Gibbins RL, Riley M, Brimble P. Effectiveness of programme for reducing cardiovascular risk for men in one general practice. *BMJ* 1993;306:1652-6. [[Medline](#)]
5. Family Heart Study Group. Randomised controlled trial evaluating cardiovascular screening and intervention in general practice: principal results of British family heart study. *BMJ* 1994;308:313-20. [[Abstract/Free Full Text](#)]
6. Imperial Cancer Research Fund OXCHECK Study Group. Effectiveness of health checks conducted by nurses in primary care: results of OXCHECK study after one year. *BMJ* 1994;308:308-12. [[Abstract/Free Full Text](#)]
7. Silagy C, Muir J, Coulter A, Thorogood M, Roe L. *Cardiovascular risk and attitudes to lifestyle: what do patients think?* *BMJ* 1993;306:1657-60.
8. Rosenbrg L, Kaufman DW, Helmrich SP, Shapiro S. The risk of myocardial infarction after quitting smoking in men under 55 years of age. *N Engl J Med* 1985;313:1511-4. [[Abstract](#)]
9. Todd I, Ballantyre D. Effect of exercise training on the total ischaemic burden: an assessment by 24 hour ambulatory electrocardiographic monitoring. *Br Heart J* 1992;68:560-6. [[Abstract](#)]
10. Law MR, Wald NJ, Thompson SG. *By how much and how quickly does reduction in serum cholesterol concentration lower risk of ischaemic heart disease?* *BMJ* 1994;308:367-73.
11. Ornish D, Brown SE, Scherwitz LW, Billings JH, Armstrong WT, Ports TA. *Can lifestyle changes reverse coronary heart disease? The lifestyle heart trial* *Lancet* 1990;336:129-33.
12. Change of heart. Strategy of prevention of coronary heart disease in Northern Ireland. Belfast: DHSS, 1986.
13. Shaper AG, Pocock SJ, Phillips AN, Walker M. Identifying men at high risk of heart attacks: strategy for use in general practice. *BMJ* 1986;293:474-9. [[Medline](#)]
14. Rose G, Hamilton PJS, Keen H, Reid DD, McCartney P, Jarret RJ. Myocardial ischaemia risk factors and death from coronary heart disease. *Lancet* 1977;i:105-9.
15. Daly LE, Hickey N, Mulcahy R. Course of angina pectoris after an acute coronary event. *BMJ* 1986;293:653-6. [[Medline](#)]
16. Campbell MJ, Elwood PC, Abbas S, Waters WE. Chest pain in women: a study of prevalence and mortality follow up in South Wales. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1984;38:17-20. [[Abstract](#)]
17. Neill WA, Branch LG, De Jong G, Smith NE, Hogan CE, Corcoran PJ, et al. Cardiac disability: the impact of coronary heart disease on patients' daily activities. *Arch Intern Med* 1985;145:1642-7. [[Abstract](#)]
18. Research Committee, Northern Region Faculty, Royal College of General Practitioners. Study of angina in patients aged 30 to 59 in general practice. *BMJ* 1982;285:1319-21. [[Medline](#)]
19. Health Promotion Agency for Northern Ireland. Research on nutrition in Northern Ireland. Belfast: HPANI, 1992.
20. Medical Research Council Working Party. MRC trial of treatment of mild hypertension: principal results. *BMJ* 1985;291:97-104. [[Medline](#)]
21. Dawber TR. *The Framingham study: the epidemiology of atherosclerotic disease*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1980:76-90.
22. Borkan GA, Sparrow D, Wisniewski C, Vokonas PS. Body weight and coronary disease risk: patterns of risk factor change associated with longterm weight change. *Am J Epidemiol* 1986;124:410-9. [[Abstract](#)]
23. Bingham SA. The dietary assessment of individuals; methods, accuracy, new techniques and recommendations. *Nutrition Abstracts and Reviews (series A)* 1987;57:720-1.
24. Thorogood M, Roe L, McPherson K, Mann J. Dietary intake and plasma lipid levels: lessons from a study of the diet of health conscious groups. *BMJ* 1990;300:1297-301. [[Medline](#)]
25. Connor SL, Gustafson JR, Sexton G, Becker N, Artaud-Wild S, Connor WE. The diet habit survey: a new method of dietary assessment that relates to plasma cholesterol changes. *Journal of the American Diabetic Association* 1992;92:41-7.

(Accepted 24 August 1994)

This article has been cited by other articles:



[Heart](#)

[Home](#)

N C Campbell

Secondary prevention clinics: improving quality of life and outcome

Heart, June 1, 2004; 90(suppl_4): iv29 - iv32.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)



[Arch Intern Med](#)

[Home](#)

M. J. Vale, M. V. Jelinek, J. D. Best, A. M. Dart, L. E. Grigg, D. L. Hare, B. P. Ho, R. W. Newman, and J. J. McNeil

Coaching patients On Achieving Cardiovascular Health (COACH): A Multicenter Randomized Trial in Patients With Coronary Heart Disease

Archives of Internal Medicine, December 8, 2003; 163(22): 2775 - 2783.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)



[Fam. Pract.](#)

[Home](#)

P. Oakeshott, S. Kerry, A. Austin, and F. Cappuccio

Is there a role for nurse-led blood pressure management in primary care?

Fam. Pract., August 1, 2003; 20(4): 469 - 473.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)



[Fam. Pract.](#)

[Home](#)

D Devroey, P Coigniez, J Vandevoorde, J Kartounian, and W Betz

Prevention and follow-up of cardiovascular disease among patients without a personal GP

Fam. Pract., August 1, 2003; 20(4): 420 - 424.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)



[Heart](#)

[Home](#)

J Riley

The nurse as expert practitioner in global cardiovascular risk management

Heart, May 1, 2003; 89(90002): ii33 - 34.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)



[BMJ](#)

[Home](#)

P. Murchie, N. C Campbell, L. D Ritchie, J. A Simpson, and J. Thain

Secondary prevention clinics for coronary heart disease: four year follow up of a randomised controlled trial in primary care

BMJ, January 11, 2003; 326(7380): 84 - 84.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)



[BMJ](#)

[Home](#)

M. Corrigan, M. E **Cupples**, and M. Stevenson

Quitting and restarting smoking: cohort study of patients with angina in primary care

BMJ, April 27, 2002; 324(7344): 1016 - 1017.

[\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)



[BMJ](#)

[Home](#)

F. A McAlister, F. M E Lawson, K. K Teo, and P. W Armstrong
Randomised trials of secondary prevention programmes in coronary heart disease: systematic review

BMJ, October 27, 2001; 323(7319): 957 - 962.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)



[Fam. Pract.](#)

[Home](#)

M. Eccles, N. Rousseau, P. Adams, and L. Thomas
Evidence-based guideline for the primary care management of stable angina

Fam. Pract., April 1, 2001; 18(2): 217 - 222.

[\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)



[Fam. Pract.](#)

[Home](#)

J. Cornuz, W. A Ghali, D. Di Carlantonio, A. Pecoud, and F. Paccaud
Physicians' attitudes towards prevention: importance of intervention-specific barriers and physicians' health habits

Fam. Pract., December 1, 2000; 17(6): 535 - 540.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)



[Fam. Pract.](#)

[Home](#)

J Sims, F Smith, A Duffy, and S Hilton
The vagaries of self-reports of physical activity: a problem revisited and addressed in a study of exercise promotion in the over 65s in general practice

Fam. Pract., April 1, 1999; 16(2): 152 - 157.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)



[BMJ](#)

[Home](#)

M. Moher, P. Yudkin, L. Wright, R. Turner, A. Fuller, T. Schofield, and D. Mant
Cluster randomised controlled trial to compare three methods of promoting secondary prevention of coronary heart disease in primary care

BMJ, June 2, 2001; 322(7298): 1338 - 1338.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)



[Heart](#)

[Home](#)

K F Fox, M Nuttall, D A Wood, M Wright, B Arora, E Dawson, P Devane, K Stock, S J Sutcliffe, and K Brown

A cardiac prevention and rehabilitation programme for all patients at first presentation with coronary artery disease

Heart, May 1, 2001; 85(5): 533 - 538.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#)



[Stroke](#)

[Home](#)

J. Redfern, C. McKeivitt, R. Dundas, A. G. Rudd, and C. D.A. Wolfe
Behavioral Risk Factor Prevalence and Lifestyle Change After Stroke : A Prospective Study

Stroke, August 1, 2000; 31(8): 1877 - 1881.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)



[BMJ](#)

[Home](#)

M J Stephen, A M Porter, and D J M Keenan

Target all risk factors

BMJ, December 10, 1994; 309(6968): 1582 - 1582.

[\[Full Text\]](#)



[BMJ](#)

[Home](#)

T. Coleman

Health promotion

BMJ, December 10, 1994; 309(6968): 1581d - 1582.

[\[Full Text\]](#)



[BMJ](#)

[Home](#)

N C H Stott, P Kinnersley, and S Rollnick

The limits to health promotion

BMJ, October 15, 1994; 309(6960): 971 - 972.

[\[Full Text\]](#)



[Heart](#)

[Home](#)

R J P LEWIN

Improving quality of life in patients with angina

Heart, December 1, 1999; 82(6): 654 - 655.

[\[Full Text\]](#)



[BMJ](#)

[Home](#)

A. Steptoe, S. Doherty, E. Rink, S. Kerry, T. Kendrick, S. Hilton, and S. Day

Behavioural counselling in general practice for the promotion of healthy behaviour among adults at increased risk of coronary heart disease: randomised trial • Commentary: Treatment allocation by the method of minimisation

BMJ, October 9, 1999; 319(7215): 943 - 948.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#)



[BMJ](#)

[Home](#)

N. M Kerse, L. Flicker, D. Jolley, B. Arroll, and D. Young

Improving the health behaviours of elderly people: randomised controlled trial of a general practice education programme

BMJ, September 11, 1999; 319(7211): 683 - 687.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#)



[BMJ](#)

[Home](#)

M E **Cupples** and A McKnight

Five year follow up of patients at high cardiovascular risk who took part in randomised controlled trial of health promotion

BMJ, September 11, 1999; 319(7211): 687 - 688.

[\[Full Text\]](#)



[QJM](#)

[Home](#)

D.E.H. Flanagan, P. Cox, D. Paine, J. Davies, and M. Armitage

Secondary prevention of coronary heart disease in primary care: a healthy heart initiative

QJM, May 1, 1999; 92(5): 245 - 250.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#)



[BMJ](#)

[Home](#)

M E **Cupples** and A McKnight

Health promotion in general practice

BMJ, April 1, 1995; 310(6983): 868b - 869.

[\[Full Text\]](#)



[BMJ](#)

[Home](#)

G. Y H Lip, R. Balasubramaniam, A. Maurice, and G. Beevers

Focus on modification of risk factors and patients' perception of lifestyle

BMJ, February 25, 1995; 310(6978): 533 - 533.

[\[Full Text\]](#)



[BMJ](#)

[Home](#)

A S Wierzbicki and T M Reynolds

Health promotion in general practice

BMJ, February 25, 1995; 310(6978): 532c - 533.

[\[Full Text\]](#)



[BMJ](#)

[Home](#)

F. Bradley and M. E **Cupples**

Reducing the risk of recurrent coronary heart disease

BMJ, June 5, 1999; 318(7197): 1499 - 1500.

[\[Full Text\]](#)



[BMJ](#)

[Home](#)

K. Jolly, F. Bradley, S. Sharp, H. Smith, S. Thompson, A.-L. Kinmonth, and D. Mant

Randomised controlled trial of follow up care in general practice of patients with myocardial infarction and angina: final results of the Southampton heart integrated care project (SHIP)

BMJ, March 13, 1999; 318(7185): 706 - 711.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#)



[Heart](#)

[Home](#)

N C Campbell, L D Ritchie, J Thain, H G Deans, J M Rawles, and J L Squair

Secondary prevention in coronary heart disease: a randomised trial of nurse led clinics in primary care

Heart, November 1, 1998; 80(5): 447 - 452.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#)



BMJ

Home

A. McKnight and M. **Cupples**

Secondary prevention of coronary heart disease in primary care is cost effective

BMJ, August 31, 1996; 313(7056): 563 - 563.

[\[Full Text\]](#)



BMJ

Home

S. Ebrahim and G. D. Smith

Systematic review of randomised controlled trials of multiple risk factor interventions for preventing coronary heart disease

BMJ, June 7, 1997; 314(7095): 1666 - 1666.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#)



BMJ

Home

N. C Campbell, J. Thain, H G. Deans, L. D Ritchie, and J. M Rawles

Secondary prevention in coronary heart disease: baseline survey of provision in general practice

BMJ, May 9, 1998; 316(7142): 1430 - 1434.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#)



BMJ

Home

N. C Campbell, J. Thain, H G. Deans, L. D Ritchie, J. M Rawles, and J. L Squair

Secondary prevention clinics for coronary heart disease: randomised trial of effect on health

BMJ, May 9, 1998; 316(7142): 1434 - 1437.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#)

Related letters in BMJ:

Target all risk factors

M J Stephen, A M Porter, and D J M Keenan

BMJ 1994 309: 1582. [\[Letter\]](#)

- [Email this article to a friend](#)
- [Respond to this article](#)
- Related [letters](#) in BMJ
- [PubMed citation](#)
- [Related articles in PubMed](#)
- [Download to Citation Manager](#)
- [Citation Map](#)
- Search Medline for articles by:
 [Cupples, M E](#) || [McKnight, A](#)
- Alert me when:
 [New articles cite this article](#)

[Home](#) [Help](#) [Search/Archive](#) [Feedback](#) [Search Result](#)

BMJ

Making Health Care Safer 2004
21 & 22 October 2004 [CLICK HERE](#)



[© 2004 BMJ Publishing Group Ltd](#)